

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
ELEC-TECH INTERNATIONAL CO.,
LTD., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [14-cv-02737-BLF](#)

**ORDER GRANTING PLANITIFFS'
REQUEST TO FILE DOCUMENT
UNDER SEAL**

[Re: ECF 84, 99]

On February 10, 2015, the Court granted in part and denied in part a request by Plaintiffs to file certain documents under seal. *See* Sealing Order, ECF 98. In that Order, the Court denied without prejudice a request to file exhibit 50 to the Declaration of Lawrence James under seal because the declaration submitted in support of the sealing request, the Chan Declaration, failed to “provide a particularized showing as to why this document should be sealed.” Sealing Order at 3.

Now, Ms. Chan offers the Court a second supplemental declaration, *see* ECF 99, which provides this particularized showing. She states that exhibit 50 includes “a series of emails that reflect[] ETI’s confidential recruitment efforts . . . , including details about specific areas of technical expertise desired by ETI.” Chan Supp. Decl. ¶ 6. This information, Ms. Chan declares, “if publicized, could result in substantial competitive harm to ETI,” *id.* at ¶ 7, which could be used by ETI’s competitors to “undercut ETI’s efforts to develop a world-class LED business.” *Id.*

The Court in its prior sealing order granted Plaintiffs’ request to seal similar exhibits which contained emails regarding recruitment efforts. *See* Sealing Order at 2. Here, the Court finds similarly that Plaintiffs’ request to seal exhibit 50 meets the “compelling reasons” standard for sealing as articulated in *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. General Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002). The Court further finds that the sealing request is narrowly tailored in

1 conformance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(1)(C). The Court therefore GRANTS Plaintiffs'
2 request to seal exhibit 50 to the James Declaration in its entirety.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: February 12, 2015


5 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
6 United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California